Exhibit 1

COILLEGE	inclus Accordings 2,00 001		
		Page	1
IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT		
FOR THE DISTR	ICT OF DELAWARE		:
LG.PHILIPS CO., LTD.,)		
Plaintiff,)		

TATUNG COMPANY; TATUNG) No. CV-05-292 (JJF)

COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.;) CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES,)

vs.

LTD.; and VIEWSONIC CORPORATION,

> Defendants. }

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY RULE 30(b)(6)DEPOSITION OF VIEWSONIC CORPORATION VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JONG HWAN KIM

WASHINGTON, D.C.

JUNE 6, 2006

The videotaped deposition of JONG HWAN KIM was convened on Tuesday, June 6, 2006, commencing at 9:13 a.m., at the offices of Howrey LLP, 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C.,

(202) 232-0646

		Page	2
**	before Paula G. Satkin, Registered Professiona	1	1,5,000
2	Reporter and Notary Public.		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
1.6			
17			
18			
19			
20	DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP		
21	1111 16th Street, NW Suite 410		
22	Washington, DC 20036 (202) 232-0646		
			-

```
Page 3
 1
                   APPEARANCES
 2
     ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF LG.PHILIPS LCD CO.,
 3
     LTD:
      GASPARE J. BONO, ATTORNEY AT LAW
      SONG K. JONG, ATTORNEY AT LAW
      MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE
 8
      1900 K Street, N.W.
      Washington, D.C. 20006
10
      (202) 496-7211
11
12
     ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT VIEWSONIC
13
     CORPORATION:
14
      SUZANNE B. DRENNON, ATTORNEY AT LAW
15
      HOWREY LLP
16
      550 South Hope Street
17
      Suite 1100
18
      Los Angeles, CA 90071
19
      (213) 892-1901
20
21
22
```

```
Page 4
 1
     ALSO PRESENT:
       Joey Thrower, Videographer
 2
       Yongwoo Cho, LG.Philips
       Nisha Mody, Howrey
       Jin Hee Kim, Interpreter
       Ann Park, Interpreter
 б
 8
 9
10
11
12
1.3
14
16
1.7
18
19
20
21
22
```

		Page 5
1	CONTENTS	***************************************
2		
3	JONG HWAN KIM EXAMINATION	
4		
5	BY MS. DRENNON9	
5	BY MR. BONO192	
7		
8		1
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		***************************************
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
4.2		

6-6-2006

		Page 6
1	EXHIBITS	
2	KIM EXHIBIT NO: PAGE NO:	
3	1 72	
4	2	
5	3	
6	4	
7	5111	
8	6120	
9	7126	
10	8131	
11	9136	
12	10138	
13	11144	;
14-	12149	
15	13154	
16	14160	
1.7	15163	
18	16166	
19	17171	
20	18175	
21	19188	
22	** Exhibits retained by counsel.	

	Page 7
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is tape
2	number one of the videotaped deposition of Jong
3	Hwan Kim taken by defendants in the matter of
4	LG.Philips LCD Company, Limited versus Tatung
5	Company of America, et al. in the United States
6	District Court for the District of Delaware,
7	Case Number CV 05-292 JJF. This deposition is
8	being held at the law offices of Howrey LLP,
9	1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest, Washington,
10	D.C. on June 6th, 2006. The time on the video
11	screen is 9:13 a.m.
12	My name is Joey Thrower. I'm the
13	legal videographer from Digital Evidence Group.
14	The court reporter is Paula Satkin
15	in association with Digital Evidence Group.
16	Will counsel and others please
17	introduce themselves for the record.
18	MS. DRENNON: Suzanne Drennon for
19	Howrey LLP for defendants.
20	MS. MODY: Nisha Mody from Howrey.
21	MR. BONO: My name is Gaspare J.
22	Bono with McKenna Long & Aldridge for the

Page 8 plaintiffs, LG.Philips LTD. With me is my 1 2 partner, Song Jung, also of the McKenna law firm. I'm Jin Kim, I'm INTERPRETER KIM: the main interpreter for this case. 5 INTERPRETER PARK: Ann Park, check 6 interpreter. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court reporter please swear in the interpreters and 10 the witness. 11 Whereupon --12 JIN HEE KIM and ANN PARK 13 were sworn to interpret English into Korean and 14 Korean into English. 15 16 Whereupon--18 JONG HWAN KIM 19 a witness, called for examination, having been 20 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 21 follows: 22

Page 73 receiving a Notice of Acknowledgment signed by her in this case and now you're introducing 2 Confidential, Attorneys Eyes Only documents in this matter. I don't wish to be disruptive, but I do need to ask that the requirements of the Protective Order be maintained, so can you clarify that for me one way or the other? she has we can proceed, but if she hasn't I'm going to have to ask her to leave the 10 deposition. 11 MS. MODY: I have signed a 12 Protective Order for this case, but I am a 1.3 Howrey employee. MR. BONO: My question is there is 14 15 a particular --16 She has signed the MS. DRENNON: 17 Protective Order in this case. 18 MR. BONO: Have you provided us 19 with the signed Notice of Acknowledgment. 20 MS. DRENNON: I can check at lunch 21 or we can take a break now. 22 MR. BONO: Why don't you check so

```
Page 74
     we can proceed one way or the other.
1
                                  Okay. You know it's
                    MS. DRENNON:
2
     a quarter to noon. Would you like to take lunch
     now and come back a little early?
                               Why don't we do that.
                    MR. BONO:
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off
6
     the record. The time is 11:49. This marks the
     end of videotape number two in the continuing
 8
     deposition of Jong Hwan Kim.
                    (Whereupon, at 11:49 p.m., a lunch
10
     recess was taken.)
12
13
14
1.5
1.6
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

Page 75 AFTERNOON SESSION 1 (1:07 p.m.)2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the ٦ beginning of videotape number three in the continuing deposition of Jong Hwan Kim. We're 5 going back on the record. The time is 1:07. 6 Before we took a 7 MS DRENNON: break Mr. Bono asked if Nisha and myself had signed the acknowledgment required the Protective Order. We have copies signed today, 10 which we're happy to give to you. 11 MR. BONO: I appreciate this. 12 my question is were these signed over the lunch 13 14 break? 1.5 MS. DRENNON: Those particular copies in your hand, yes, were signed today over 16 17 the lunch break. Okay. I don't have any 18 MR. BONO: concern, Ms. Drennon, about your acknowledgments 19 since you're an attorney with Howrey, but I have 20 a very, very large concern over Ms. Mody, who I 21 understand is not an attorney, she is employed 22

Page 76

- with a company called Cap Analysis.
- MS. DRENNON: To clarify, Cap
- Analysis is a subsidiary of Howrey, so Ms. Mody
- is a Howrey employee.
- MR. BONO: I appreciate your
- argument on that, but I would expect Ms. Mody is
- an employee of Cap Analysis since Cap Analysis
- is put out as a separate entity providing
- 9 economic consulting services, including in the
- intellectual property area.
- And as I understand it Cap
- 12 Analysis performs its services and consulting
- work for not only Howrey clients at times, but
- also has -- does such services for independent
- clients of Cap Analysis, and so they really are
- acting as an independent economic consulting
- 17 firm.
- MS. DRENNON: I have to interrupt
- and disagree with your characterization. Howrey
- LLP pays for Ms. Mody's paycheck. She's
- employed by Howrey and, regardless, she's signed
- the Protective Order. If she were otherwise she

Page 77 would still be able to be here. 1 MR. BONO: No, that's not correct, 2 and that's the second point. Had she been an attorney with Howrey that would be correct, as I'm not making any issue with respect to your 5 acknowledgment, which maybe had fallen through the cracks before, but with respect to Cap Analysis and economic consultants, the Protective Order provides that we were entitled to receive notice of -- to whom Howrey and CPT 10 intended to disclose LPL's confidential 11 information, in order to have certain 12 disclosures made to us, since the highly 13 sensitive confidential information of LPL is 1.4 being disclosed to this outside entity in order 15 for us to analyze --I object to your MS. DRENNON: 17 characterization of it as an outside entity. 18 MR. BONO: Well, you call it Cap 19

Analysis, you do not call it Howrey LLP, but

beyond that, we are entitled to have had notice

provided to us in a 10-day period in which to

(202) 232-0646

20

21

22

Page 78

- view the disclosures made with respect to Cap
- 2 Analysis, including the disclosures as to the
- work they have done in the LCD industry, the
- work they may have done with respect to
- 5 competitors of LPL, their ongoing relationship
- with competitors of LPL in the LCD industry.
- ⁷ And so we view this as a very serious issue
- because we don't have any of the proper
- 9 disclosures. And until that requirement of the
- Protective Order is met I'm going to have to
- ask, under the circumstances, that Ms. Mody
- leave the deposition so the deposition can
- continue and you can ask whatever questions you
- want relating to confidential information. And
- 15 I'm also going to ask that it would be apparent
- to me that certain confidential information has
- already been disclosed to Cap Analysis, and I
- would ask that under the circumstances that Cap
- Analysis be instructed to perform no further
- work in this matter until it complies with the
- requirements of the Protective Order and makes
- the appropriate disclosures and allows us to

Page 79 exercise our rights on whether to object or not, 1 because it is a very, very serious issue with Cap Analysis performing economic analysis and 3 work in the intellectual property area and in the LCD area, when LPL's highly sensitive confidential information is being given to them. Ms. Mody has MS. DRENNON: 7 performed her job as an employee of Howrey. understand your concern. We will proceed with the rest of the deposition without Ms. Mody. As for the rest of your issues we well get -- had 11 to address those at a later date. 12 I appreciate that, but 1.3 MR. BONO: I would like -- I would like for us to have --14 in light of this serious issue to have a 15 standstill agreement that no further work be done by Cap Analysis until the appropriate 17 disclosures have been made, because I 18 19 understand --MS. DRENNON: I cannot agree to 20 21 that. MR. BONO: Well then we'll have to 22

Page 80 take this matter up immediately with the court l at the appropriate time. MS. DRENNON: At this time I'm not authorized to make --I'm telling you, you MR. BONO: proceed at your own risk because you have 6 violated the court's order by not disclosing Cap Analysis to us and now they have in their possession confidential information of LPL, and 9 it is clear that they may very well be doing 10 economic analysis, advise, valuation work for 11 direct competitors of LPL. 12 I think your 13 MS. DRENNON: objection is clear on the record and I would 14 like to proceed with the deposition. 15 Thank you. 16 MR. BONO: Have you and MS. DRENNON: 3.7 Mr. Sung Jung both signed the Protective Order? 18 Yes. MR. BONO: 19 MS. DRENNON: Do you have copies 20 21 available? No. Not with me, but 22 MR. BONO:

Page 195 Did LPL perform any valuation of 1 Ο. any group of patents within that package? 2 There is no valuation, whatsoever, 3 Α. that was conducted with respect to Honeywell 5 patents. And my last question. Did LPL 6 Ο. perform any valuation of the '002 patent, itself? Α. No. MR. BONO: I have no further 10 11 questions. MS. DRENNON: I have no further 12 13 questions. Pursuant to the 14 MR BONO: Protective Order that's been entered in this 15 case, plaintiff designates this transcript as 16 confidential, attorneys only, and I would ask the court reporter and the videographer to so designate the transcript and the videotape as 19 confidential, attorneys only, and I would ask 20 the court reporter to place that designation on 21 the cover page of the transcript as well as each 22

```
Page 196
     of the pages of the transcript.
                                        This marks the
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
2
     end of videotape number six. We're going off
                                        This is the
                   The time is 6:25.
     the record.
     conclusion of this deposition of Jong Hwan Kim.
                     (Reading and signature not
     waived.)
                     (Whereupon, at 6:25 p.m., the
     deposition was concluded.)
10
11
1.2
13
14
15
16
17
18
20
22
```